

Proximity to a Nearly Superconducting Quantum Critical Liquid

Qiang-Hua Wang^{1,2}, and A. V. Balatsky³

¹*Department of Physics and National Laboratory of Solid State Microstructures,
Institute for Solid State Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China*

²*Department of Physics, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA*

³*T-Div and MST-Div, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM
87545, USA*

(February 1, 2008)

The coupling between superconductors and a quantum critical liquid that is nearly superconducting provides natural interpretation for the Josephson effect over unexpectedly long junctions, and the remarkable stripe-spacing dependence of the critical temperature in LSCO and YBCO superconductors.

74.20.-z, 74.50.+r, 74.62.-c, 64.60.Fr

In the resonating-valence-bond (RVB) theory, [1] the superconductivity in underdoped cuprates is thermodynamically close to a quantum spin liquid. Although at zero doping there is a Néel ordered state, it is believed that after sufficient doping the mobilized holes disorder the Néel state to a quantum spin liquid. This picture is in general agreement with the experimental data measured in underdoped samples. For example, a quasi-particle pseudo-gap forms much above the superconducting transition temperature T_c , and both the pseudo-gap and the energy gap below T_c exhibit the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave symmetry in the momentum space. [2] Indeed, in a mean field solution to the RVB theory, [3] the pseudo-gap follows from preformed spin pairs, and the condensation of these pairs, which is determined by the hole density x , defines the superconducting transition. The mean field solution captures the major feature of the underdoped superconductors, but it was accepted with skepticism because of the neglected gauge fluctuations. [4] However, it was recently realized that the gauge fluctuations can be integrated out rigorously in the long wave length and low energy limit and a renormalized theory can be obtained. [5] In this respect, the notion of a quantum spin liquid is pertinent in the underdoped regime.

In the cuprates, superconductivity is developed beyond a critical doping $x_c(> x_N)$, and the transition temperature T_c increases with x at $x > x_c$ (but $x < x_p$ with x_p the optimum doping). Since the transition involves condensation of spin pairs, it is reasonable to believe that the spin liquid at x_c is a quantum critical liquid (QCL) that is nearly superconducting. [6] The transition to a hole-uniform superconductor is, however, hidden by the phase separation instability at higher x , where the system is likely in a mixed phase composing of hole-rich stripes and the hole-poor critical liquid. [7] Below we argue that proximity to the postulated QCL is consistent with two seemingly unrelated sets of data: the Josephson effect in unusually lengthy junctions made of underdoped cuprates at x slightly lower than x_c , [8] and the stripe-spacing dependence of the transition temperature of underdoped superconductors. [9–11]

We first consider the general behavior of the QCL. It is known that the Coulomb interaction is strong and long-ranged in cuprates, which frustrates the phase separation of holes to form stripes. [12] Therefore, we believe that the dynamical exponent of the QCL should be $z = 1$. [13] An immediate consequence of this exponent is that the inverse of a length has the dimension of energy. On the other hand, since the spin pairs are well formed, the amplitude fluctuations of the pairs are massive and can be integrated out in principle. The important dynamics comes from the phase θ degrees of freedom of the pairs. Because of the isotropy in space and (imaginary) time, a generalized Josephson scaling relation for the phase stiffness can be obtained as follows. The Green's function for θ is, in general,

$$G(q) = q^{-(2-\eta)} Y(q\xi), \quad (1)$$

where $q = (\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ is a three-vector ($\mathbf{k}$ is the spatial wave vector and ω is the Matsubara frequency), η is the anomalous dimension, ξ is the correlation length, and finally $Y(\zeta)$ is a scaling function of ζ . The phase stiffness ρ (including the superfluid density and the compressibility) is determined by [14]

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} G(q) = b^2 / \rho q^2, \quad (2)$$

where $b = |\langle \sqrt{x} e^{i\theta} \rangle| \sim \xi^{-\beta/\nu}$ is the order parameter with β and ν being the scaling exponent for the order parameter and correlation length, respectively. Here x is the hole density in the QCL. Thus

$$\rho = b^2 \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} q^{-\eta} Y^{-1}(q\xi) \sim \xi^{\eta-2\beta/\nu} = \xi^{-(d+z-2)}, \quad (3)$$

where in the last step we have used the generalized hyperscaling relation $2\beta = \nu(d+z-2+\eta)$ with $d = 2$ being the spatial dimension in our case. Thus the desired Josephson scaling relation reads $\rho \sim \xi^{-1}$. This result could also be obtained by a simple power counting as follows. Near criticality, one expects that

$$\int_{\xi^{d+z}} \rho (\partial_\mu \theta)^2 \sim 1 \quad (4)$$

where the integration is over a volume of ξ^{d+z} due to the isotropy in space and time. The ansatz $\partial_\mu \theta \sim 1/\xi$ gives immediately the Josephson scaling. The above analysis implies that both the superfluid density and the compressibility scale as energy and/or inverse length. This statement is important to discuss the finite-size scaling in the following. With the general behavior of the QCL in mind, let us now discuss the relevance of the QCL in the cuprates.

(I) *Photodoping experiment*: In a very recent and elegant experiment, [8] superconducting wires are generated by photo-doping a film with x slightly lower than x_c . The unilluminated region serves as a junction. The critical current I_c is measured as a function of the junction length s (in the direction of current flow). It turns out that a relation

$$I_c \propto 1/s \quad (5)$$

holds from $s = 45nm$ up to $s = 100nm$, a length much larger than the coherence length ξ_s ($\sim 1 - 5nm$) in the superconducting leads. This phenomenon is difficult to understand if the junction is a normal insulator or metal, as one would expect $I_c s \propto e^{-s/l}$ where $l = \xi_s$ for a normal insulator and $l = v_F/T$ for a ballistic metal. According to the experimental setup, $l \ll 100nm$ for both cases. [8] Therefore, the junction may be in a special state of matter, which is arguably a QCL. We note that the geometry of the experimental setup is two one-dimensional (1D) superconducting wires immersed in a QCL. This is an important aspect of the experiment. The Cooper pairs can tunnel through an extended area connecting the two wires. Because the junction length is the only relevant length scale, the Josephson energy scales as

$$E_J = F(s/\xi)/s \quad (6)$$

with a scaling function $F(s/\xi)$ if the junction is not exactly at criticality. It is expected that $F(s/\xi)$ remains a constant at $s \ll \xi$. The above result can also be understood as follows. Since s is the only length scale, the superfluid density ρ_s in the junction scales as $1/s$ and the transverse extension of the supercurrent flow is $X_s \sim s$, up to corrections from a scaling function. The Josephson energy scale is thus given by

$$E_J \sim \int_{sX_s} dx dy \rho_s (\nabla \theta)^2 \sim F(s/\xi)/s, \quad (7)$$

where the integration is over the area sX_s where supercurrent flows. We have used $\nabla \theta \sim 1/s$ for a rough estimate, and have included correction from the finiteness of s/ξ by the scaling function. Since E_J determines the critical current I_c , in suitable units we have

$$I_c s = F(s/\xi). \quad (8)$$

At $s < \xi$ we expect that F is roughly a constant, so that Eq.(5) is recovered. The plot of $I_c s$ is nothing but a scaling function. From the data $I_c s$ drops to zero quickly

at $s > 100nm$. [8] This suggests that $\xi \sim 100nm$. The decrease of $I_c s$ may be related to the thermal activation of vortices in the junction. More efforts should be devoted to explain the sharp drop of the scaling function, which is beyond the scope of this scaling argument. On the other hand, because $z = 1$, we expect that $\xi \sim 1/T$ in the critical regime, so that the scaling relation can be rewritten as

$$I_c s = F(Ts). \quad (9)$$

An important prediction follows from this function: Instead of varying s , one could vary the temperature T to find the scaling behavior, provided that the superconductivity within the wires is hardly changed. This situation is perhaps not feasible by photodoping, but is plausible by other techniques.

To appreciate the importance of the special geometry of the junction we addressed so far, consider another situation. Suppose the superconducting leads are wide enough so that effectively the QCL only lives within the junction. Neglecting boundary corrections the Josephson energy should be extensive in the junction width L . The only other length scale is s . By dimension analysis, we obtain

$$E_J \sim \int_0^L dy \int_0^s dx \rho_s (\nabla \theta)^2 \sim (L/s) \tilde{F}(s/\xi, s/L)/s. \quad (10)$$

In the last expression, the first factor L/s is extensive in L but is dimensionless. The remaining part is the characteristic energy. Here $\tilde{F}(s/\xi, s/L)$ is another scaling function that is finite at vanishing arguments. In this geometry, one would expect that

$$I_c s = (L/s) \tilde{F}(s/\xi, s/L). \quad (11)$$

At $s \ll \xi$ and $s \ll L$, one would expect that $I_c \propto L/s^2$, which should be contrasted with Eq.(5). This scaling form leads us to propose the experimental test of the idea of QCL in the junction. If one can create a wide junction by photodoping the wide stripes of material then the critical current should follow Eq.(11). The data of Decca *et al* [8] begin with $s > L$, and are better described by the first geometry. (In fact, in their case, the width L can not be well defined as the wires become rounded at the tips).

The QCL should also manifest itself in the magnetic field dependence of the Josephson critical current. Since the proliferation of vortices, each carrying a flux quantum, would wash out superconductivity, we expect that the magnetic field H defines another length scale through $1/\sqrt{H}$. Thus in the presence of a weak magnetic field, we expect that in a given geometry of the junction the field dependence of the critical current should be qualitatively given by

$$I_c(H)/I_c(0) = 1 - a(\xi\sqrt{H})^n$$

where n is a universal positive exponent and a a non-universal positive constant. In the critical regime where $\xi > 1/T$ the above expression should be replaced by $I_c(H)/I_c(0) = 1 - a(\sqrt{H/T^2})^n$. From the experiment of Decca et al, it turns out that $n = 4$. In order to see whether their results support the QCL scenario, it is desirable to vary both H and T and to see whether $I_c(H)/I_c(0)$ is a scaling function of H/T^2 (in the critical regime).

(II) *Stripes in underdoped cuprates:* Let us now discuss the relevance of QCL in underdoped cuprates with hole-rich stripes. It was found that in LSCO materials there exists a striking linear relation between the superconducting critical temperature T_c the incommensurate width δ near the peak at (π, π) in the neutron scattering spectrum. [9] Recently, a similar relation was discovered from the inelastic neutron scattering data in YBCO samples. [10] More interestingly, it was further argued that $T_c \propto \delta$ translates to

$$T_c = hv^*/s, \quad (12)$$

where $h = 2\pi\hbar$ is the Planck constant, s the spacing between stripes, and v^* a material-dependent constant with the dimension of velocity. [10] Two aspects of Eq.(12) are quite unusual. First, for a given material, v^* should be constant and small ($v^* \ll v_F$ with v_F being the Fermi velocity). And second, the robustness of the scaling up to the optimum doping, where the stripes, if they existed at all, would be densely spaced (with $s \sim 1nm$). It was conjectured that v^* may be related to an unknown collective-mode of the stripes [10] and/or the motion of heavy quasiparticles near the flat band around $(\pi, 0)$. [11] Given the experimental results, this conjecture is an important clue to the underlying mechanism. Here we argue that Eq.(12) follows from dynamic stripes coupled by QCL.

We note that the stripes are metallic. They behave as anti-phase domain walls for the remaining anti-ferromagnetic background. [15] (Recent mean-field calculations for the t-J model indicated that in-phase stripes are slightly more favorable energetically. However, it was believed that quantum fluctuations might stabilize anti-phase stripes. [16]) The holes in the stripes are not strictly localized in the transverse direction. Rather, because of quantum fluctuations, the stripes are dynamic unless pinned by inhomogeneities. Such transverse fluctuations were believed to develop strong superconducting correlations along the longitudinal direction of the stripes. [17] Also because of the transverse fluctuations of the stripes, the intervening region between the stripes may be driven to a quantum critical liquid, which is almost superconducting. Combining these considerations, we model the whole system as a superposition of superconducting stripes and quantum critical liquids plus coupling between them. [18] This phenomenological model

should be understood as an effective theory in the low energy limit. It does not answer why there are stripes and a QCL, which are the issue of a higher energy scale. Without loss of generality, let us assume that an isolated stripe α can be described by an action

$$S_\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \int dy d\tau [u(\partial_y \theta_\alpha)^2 + v(\partial_\tau \theta_\alpha)^2],$$

where u and v are the bare stiffness components with respect to space y and time τ , and are assumed to be independent of the stripe spacing s . (Because of transverse fluctuations of the stripes, the spacing is only defined in an average sense.) As we argued, the stripes are coupled by the QCL. We imagine to find the effective coupling between the stripes mediated by the QCL. This is virtually a real-space renormalization. In the long wave length and low energy limit, we need only to retain lowest order couplings. Clearly, there may be corrections to the bare stiffness components, which we believe is relatively small and is neglected in the following. Among the other effects, the Josephson-like coupling between nearest stripes α and β ,

$$\frac{1}{2} \int dy d\tau j(\theta_\alpha - \theta_\beta)^2$$

is the most relevant. The coefficient j can be obtained by the same scaling arguments as that for the wide-junction geometry described above,

$$j = \tilde{F}(s/\xi, s/L)/s^2,$$

where L now denotes the characteristic length of the stripes. Again in the long wave length and low energy limit, we can approximate $(\theta_\alpha - \theta_\beta)^2/s^2$ as $(\partial_x \theta)^2$, so that the total action for the stripes can be put back into a continuum form in the $r \equiv (x, y, \tau)$ space as,

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3r \rho_\mu (\partial_\mu \theta)^2,$$

where μ denotes the three components, $\rho_x = \tilde{F}(s/\xi, s/L)/s$, $\rho_y = u/s$ and $\rho_\tau = v/s$. This is an anisotropic 3D XY-model. To leading order all of the stiffness components in the new action are inversely proportional to s . If the system is macroscopically isotropic due to stripes in both directions, the global stiffness ρ is given by the geometrical mean of the three components, [19] so that

$$\rho = H(s/\xi, s/L)/s. \quad (13)$$

Here $H = \tilde{F}^{1/3}$ is a scaling function given by $\tilde{F}$. The 3D XY-model develops long range ordering as long as $\rho > 0$ in thermodynamic limit (i.e., in an infinite plane and at zero temperature). [14] This is again a quantum critical point with $z = 1$ due to isotropy in space and time. Since ρ has the dimension of energy, it naturally

defines the scale of the superconducting gap. Thus at $T > \rho$ the system is in a quantum critical state, while at $T < \rho$ it is a renormalized superconductor. As a result, the transition temperature is given by $T_c = \rho$, which was also indicated earlier in some stripe scenarios of high temperature superconductivity. [20] In combination with the behavior of ρ we obtain

$$T_c s = H(s/\xi, s/L). \quad (14)$$

For long stripes, we can simplify the expression by the approximation $T_c s = H(s/\xi, 0)$. This explains why T_c should be determined by s . The scaling function takes into account the correction due to the finiteness of s/ξ . The above results follow essentially from a finite-size scaling with respect to a QCL. It is not clear *a priori* that such a scaling should work at all at the more or less microscopic stripe spacing scales. Furthermore, the above relation does not guarantee that $T_c s$ will be a constant if H varies significantly at moderate arguments. However, $\xi \sim 1/T_c$ at $T = T_c$ in the critical regime of the QCL. Consequently,

$$T_c s = H(T_c s, 0). \quad (15)$$

This is a remarkable result, indicating that the plot of $H(T_c s, 0)$ against $T_c s$ only accesses a unique point of the scaling function at $T_c s = hv^*$, with hv^* determined by the equation

$$hv^* = H(hv^*, 0). \quad (16)$$

We believe that this explains the robustness of Eq.(12), even though the scaling function $H(\zeta, 0)$ may vary with ζ due to the finite size effect. Clearly the notion of a QCL plays an important role in our arguments.

In summary, proximity to a quantum critical liquid that is nearly superconducting provides appealing interpretation of the abnormal Josephson effect and the stripe spacing dependence of T_c in underdoped cuprates. We also predicted the Josephson effect in a geometry different from that in Ref. [8]. The field dependence of the Josephson current is also discussed. Naturally one would expect other properties of superconductors in proximity to a quantum critical liquid. These may include scaling behavior of the various stiffness as a function of temperature, probing frequency and length scale.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Dung-Hai Lee for his insightful comments in the initial stage of this work, and to I. Martin for useful discussions. QHW was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (nkbbsf-g19990646), and in part by the Berkeley Scholars Program financed by

the Hutchison-Whampoa Company, Hong Kong. Work at Los Alamos was supported by US DOE.

-
- [1] P. W. Anderson, Science **235**, 1196 (1987).
 - [2] For a review, see, *e.g.*, M. Randeria, cond-mat/9710223, and references therein.
 - [3] G. Kotliar, and J. Liu, Phys. Rev. B **38**, 5142 (1988).
 - [4] M. U. Ubbens and P. A. Lee, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 6853 (1998);
 - [5] Dung-Hai Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 2694 (2000).
 - [6] S. C. Zhang, Science **275**, 1089 (1997).
 - [7] For a recent review of stripes, see, *e.g.*, V. J. Emery, *et al*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **96**, 8814 (1999).
 - [8] R. S. Decca, H. D. Drew, E. Osquiguil, B. Maiorov, and J. Guimpel, cond-mat/0003213.
 - [9] K. Yamada, *et al*, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 6165 (1998).
 - [10] A. V. Balatsky, and P. Bourges, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82** 5337 (1999)
 - [11] A.V. Balatsky and Z.X. Shen, Science **284** 1137 (1999).
 - [12] V. J. Emery, *et al*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 475 (1990).
 - [13] M. P. A. Fisher, and G. Grinstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 208 (1990).
 - [14] P. M. Chaikin, and T. C. Lubensky, *Principles of Condensed Matter Physics* (Cambridge University Press 1995).
 - [15] L. P. Pryadko, *et al*, Phys. Rev. B **60** 7541 (1999), and references therein
 - [16] Jun-Hoon Han, Qiang-Hua Wang, and Dung-Hai Lee, cond-mat/0006046.
 - [17] Y. A. Krotov, D.-H. Lee, and A. V. Balatsky, cond-mat/9705031; Phys. Rev. B **56**, 8367 (1997).
 - [18] Similar starting points were invoked in, *e.g.*, N. asselmann, A. H. Castro Neto, and C. Morais Smith, cond-mat/005486; A. H. Castro Neto, cond-mat/0007434.
 - [19] This is easily seen by a duality transform.
 - [20] V. J. Emery, S. A. Kivelson, and O. Zachar, Phys. Rev. B **56** 6120 (1997); J. Eroles, G.Ortiz, A. V. Balatsky, and A. R. Bishop, Europhys. Lett. **50**, 540 (2000).